FGG MAHL SECTION

Before the Federal Communications Commission 5 12 13 15 Washington, DC 20554

	$g_1(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
In the Matter of)
Requests for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by)))
Atek Construction, Inc. Costa Mesa, California) File No. SLD-153005
Bath County Board of Education Owingsville, Kentucky) File No. SLD-392300
Brown County Schools Nashville, Indiana) File No. SLD-423655
Colton School District No. 53 Colton, Oregon) File No. SLD-434227
DeSoto Parish School Board and Tensas Parish School Board Baton Rouge, Louisiana) File Nos. SLD-288236, 291803)
Kearney Public Schools Kearney, Nebraska) File No. SLD-396314
Kent City Schools Kent City, Ohio) File No. SLD-231188
Kentucky Department of Education Frankfort, Kentucky	File Nos. SLD-368830, 381199, 347788, 369316, 375220, 364434, 363267, 372802, 365214, 367792, 363648, 360082, 381100, 371434, 362629, 363713, 361782, 374958, 371130
Lavalette Board of Education Lavalette, New Jersey) File No. SLD-430991
Maine School Administrative District No. 36 Livermore Falls, Maine) File No. SLD-434452
Maumee City School District Maumee, Ohio) File No. SLD-433796
Mililani Mauka Elementary Honolulu, Hawaii) File No. SLD-435235)

Moencopi Day School Tuba City, Arizona) File No. SLD-388623	
Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC California San Jose, California) File No. SLD-179828	
Perrysburg Schools Perrysburg, Ohio) File No. SLD-433571	
Phenix City Public Schools Phenix City, Alabama	File Nos. SLD-322329, 323545	
Rangeley Public Library Rangeley, Maine) File No. SLD-412504	
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Corpus Cristi, Texas) File No. SLD-202704	
Washington Local Schools Toledo, Ohio) File No. SLD-434128	
Wayne County Public Schools Cleveland, Ohio	File Nos. SLD-375448, 375599, 375634, 375658	
Yeshiva Masoras Avos Lakewood, New Jersey) File No. SLD-347572	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism) CC Docket No. 02-6	
ORDER		

By the Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

Adopted: Decmeber 15, 2004

1. The Telecommunications Access Policy Division (Division) has under consideration the above-captioned Requests for Review of decisions issued by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company. These requests seek review of SLD decisions pursuant to section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules.²

Released: December 16, 2004

¹ Letter from Ali Taha, Atek Construction, Inc., filed July 19, 2004; Letter from Ronnie Bailey, Bath County Board of Education, filed July 26, 2004; Letter from John Emkes, Brown County Schools, filed July 8, 2004; Letter from Jeff Flaig, Colton School District No. 53, filed July 6, 2004; Letter from Kenneth Sills, DeSoto Parish School Board and Tensas Parish School Board, filed July 27, 2004; Letter from Karl Yeutter and Dr. Ken Anderson, Kearney Public Schools, filed July 19, 2004; Letter from Dr. Joseph Giancola, Kent City Schools, filed July 28, 2004; Letter from Amy Worlton, Kentucky Department of Education, filed July 20, 2004; Letter from Patricia Christopher, Lavalette Board of Education, filed July 27, 2004; Letter from Terry Despres, Maine School Administrative District No. 36, filed July 26, 2004; Letter from Paul Brotzki, Maumee City School District, filed July 19, 2004; Letter from Glenn Minami, Mililani Mauka Elementary, filed July 30, 2004; Letter from Wendell Coats, Moencopi Day School, filed August 2, 2004; Letter from Christopher Heimann, Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC

- 2. The Commission's rules provide that the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) must issue a decision resolving a request for review of matters properly before it within ninety (90) days unless the time period is extended.³ The Bureau extended by sixty (60) days the time period for considering the Requests for Review.⁴ The Bureau requires additional time to review the issues presented. Accordingly, we extend by an additional thirty (30) days the deadline by which the Bureau must take action regarding the instant Requests for Review of decisions by the SLD.
- 3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to section 54.724(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a), that the time period for taking action in the above-captioned Requests for Review IS EXTENDED BY an additional thirty (30) days to January 13, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Atek Construction, Inc., Costa Mesa, California; to January 20, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Bath County Board of Education, Owingsville, Kentucky; to January 5, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Brown County Schools, Nashville, Indiana; to January 3, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Colton School District No. 53, Colton, Oregon; to January 26, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by DeSoto Parish School Board and Tensas Parish School Board, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; to January 13, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Kearney Public Schools, Kearney, Nebraska; to January 26, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Kent City Schools, Kent City, Ohio; to January 18, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Kentucky Department of Education, Frankfort, Kentucky; to January 26, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Lavalette Board of Education, Lavalette, New Jersey; to January 20, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Maine School Administrative District No. 36, Livermore Falls, Maine; to January 13, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Maumee City School District, Maumee, Ohio; to January 26, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Mililani Mauka Elementary, Honolulu, Hawaii; to January 28, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Moencopi Day School, Tuba City, Arizona; to January 10, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC California, San Jose, California; to January 18, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Perrysburg Schools, Perrysburg, Ohio; to January 13, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Phenix City Public Schools, Phenix City, Alabama; to January 26, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Rangeley Public Library, Rangeley, Maine; to January 10, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Corpus Cristi, Texas; to January 18, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Washington Local Schools, Toledo, Ohio; to January 3, 2005

California, filed July 14, 2004; Letter from Richard Jones, Perrysburg Schools, filed July 20, 2004; Letter from Larry Dichiara, Phenix City Public Schools, filed July 19, 2004; Letter from Sue Downes-Borko, Rangeley Public Library, filed July 29, 2004; Letter from Christopher Heimann, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, filed July 13, 2004; Letter from David Bringman, Washington Local Schools, filed July 21, 2004; Letter from Nathaniel Hawthorne, Wayne County Public Schools, filed July 6, 2004; Letter from Pesach Grossman, Yeshiva Masoras Avos, filed July 19, 2004 (Requests for Review).

² See Requests for Review. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of the Administrator may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

³ 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a).

⁴ Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Atek Construction, Inc., Costa Mesa, California, et al., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, DA 04-3160 (Wir. Com. Bur. rel. Oct. 5, 2004).

for the Request for Review filed by Wayne County Public Schools, Cleveland, Ohio; to January 13, 2005 for the Request for Review filed by Yeshiva Masoras Avos, Lakewood, New Jersey.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Vickie S. Robinson

Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division

Wireline Competition Bureau